The influence of respiration on brainstem and cardiovagal response to auricular vagus nerve stimulation: A multimodal ultrahigh-field (7T) fMRI study
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Abstract
Background: Brainstem-focused mechanisms supporting transcutaneous auricular VNS (taVNS) effects are not well understood, particularly in humans. We employed ultrahigh field (7T) fMRI and evaluated the influence of respiratory phase for optimal targeting, applying our respiratory-gated auricular vagal afferent nerve stimulation (RAVANS) technique.

Hypothesis: We proposed that targeting of nucleus tractus solitarii (NTS) and cardiovagal modulation in response to taVNS stimuli would be enhanced when stimulation is delivered during a more receptive state, i.e. exhalation.

Methods: Brainstem fMRI response to auricular taVNS (cymba conchae) was assessed for stimulation delivered during exhalation (eRAVANS) or inhalation (iRAVANS), while exhalation-gated stimulation over the greater auricular nerve (GANctrl, i.e. earlobe) was included as control. Furthermore, we evaluated cardiovagal response to stimulation by calculating instantaneous HF-HRV from cardiac data recorded during fMRI.

Results: Our findings demonstrated that eRAVANS evoked fMRI signal increase in ipsilateral pontomedullary junction in a cluster including purported NTS. Brainstem response to GANctrl localized a partially-overlapping cluster, more ventrolateral, consistent with spinal trigeminal nucleus. A region-of-interest analysis also found eRAVANS activation in monoaminergic source nuclei including locus coeruleus (LC, noradrenergic) and both dorsal and median raphe (serotonergic) nuclei. Response to eRAVANS was significantly greater than iRAVANS for all nuclei, and greater than GANctrl in LC and raphe nuclei. Furthermore, eRAVANS, but not iRAVANS, enhanced cardiovagal modulation, confirming enhanced eRAVANS response on both central and peripheral neurophysiological levels.

Conclusion: 7T fMRI localized brainstem response to taVNS, linked such response with autonomic outflow, and demonstrated that taVNS applied during exhalation enhanced NTS targeting.

© 2019 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Introduction
The vagus nerve is critically involved in autonomic regulation of several visceral organs, including the heart, lungs, pancreas, and...
portions of the gastrointestinal tract. In the last couple of decades, the cervical bundle of the vagus (Cranial Nerve X) has been a target for vagus nerve stimulation (VNS) therapy. However, given the invasiveness and cost of the surgical VNS procedure, its therapeutic application has been mostly limited to brain-based targets: drug-resistant epilepsy and major depression [1–4]. More recently, two main non-invasive approaches (nVNS) have emerged, one targeting the cervical vagus nerve in the neck (transcutaneous cervical VNS, tcVNS), the other targeting the auricular branch of the vagus nerve (ABVN; transcutaneous auricular VNS, taVNS). The latter, taVNS, has been employed for patients suffering from various disorders including epilepsy [5], chronic tinnitus [6,7], depression [8,9], pain [10–13], migraine [12,14], as well as in cardiovascular modulation [15–17]. While clinical taVNS applications have been widely noted in the literature, the physiological mechanisms supporting such clinical effects are not well understood, particularly in humans.

The primary synaptic target of afference over the vagus nerve is the nucleus tractus solitarii (NTS), located in the dorsal medulla. This elongated nucleus extends rostroventrally from the pontomedullary junction to just below the obex, where it merges with the contralateral column. The NTS transfers information to pre-motor parasympathetic nuclei including nucleus ambiguous (NAmb) and dorsal motor nucleus of the vagus. In fact, taVNS has also demonstrated robust modulation of autonomic outflow using heart rate variability (HRV) and other measures [15,16,18]. Moreover, ascending projections from NTS transfer afference to higher monoamine neurotransmitter source nuclei such as locus coeruleus (LC, noradrenergic) and raphe (serotonergic) nuclei in the pons and midbrain [19–22], which may also impact autonomic outflow via feedback loops, as well as modulating higher brain function by recruiting these diffusely projecting neurotransmitter systems.

Importantly, NTS activity is known to be modulated by respiration, both through bottom-up afference from pulmonary stretch receptors and aortic baroreceptors, and via top-down influence from ventral respiratory group nuclei in the medulla. Specifically, NTS receives inhibitory influence during inhalation, and facilitatory influence during exhalation [23–25]. Therefore, our group has proposed that NTS targeting by taVNS can be enhanced by gating stimulation to the exhalation phase of the respiratory cycle via parasympathetic reflexes, such as increased vagal afferent activity at the level of the NTS, and gating afferent input to the NTS during exhalation [23–25]. Additionally, the NTS receives inhibitory input from the spinal cord and higher brain centers, and has been shown to be modulated by respiratory gating [23–25]. Therefore, it is likely that taVNS targets the NTS and modulates its activity, which in turn modulates the autonomic outflow to the heart and other organs.

Our study investigated evoked brain response to taVNS stimuli, which may support the neurophysiological and clinical effects noted above. While multiple functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) studies have aimed at evaluating brain response to taVNS in humans [12,26–32], the challenges posed to brainstem functional imaging by physiological noise and the small cross-sectional area of many brainstem nuclei has limited signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) in such nuclei and hampered precise characterization of taVNS-related responses. Advances in ultrahigh-field MRI (UHF, 7T and higher) have proven instrumental in overcoming some of these SNR limitations, significantly expanding the field of brainstem imaging [33]. Our UHF fMRI approach sought to better localize evoked brainstem response to taVNS using focused cardiovascular noise removal and flexible hemodynamic response function setting, allowing us to reliably assess the influence of respiration-gating. Additionally, we evaluated concurrent instantaneous HRV response to taVNS using a statistical model-based spectral approach previously developed by our group [34] to investigate cardiovascular stimulus-evoked response, and tested the association of the autonomic outflow with brainstem fMRI response. To our knowledge, this is the first study applying UHF fMRI to identify specific brainstem region responses to taVNS and link the response with autonomic outflow.

Methods

Subjects

Sixteen (16) healthy adult subjects (9 female, age: 27.0 ± 6.6 years, mean ± SD) were enrolled. All study procedures were approved by the local Institutional Review Board, and written informed consent was provided by all subjects. Subjects were excluded from participation in case of major neurological or other medical disorders that would interfere with study procedures or confound results (e.g. conditions altering blood flow), a history of seizure or significant head trauma, a history of Axis I psychiatric diagnosis, as well as any contraindication for MRI. Prior to the MRI scanning, participants were familiarized with the stimulation and calibration procedure. Specifically, they were instructed to rate the intensity of the stimulation using a numeric rating scale (NRS) ranging from 0 (“no sensation”) to 10 (“pain threshold”), and to aim for 1 “moderate but not painful sensation”, corresponding to a target score of 4–5 on the 0–10 scale. Subjects were told they would experience the stimulation intermittently during the imaging session. Subjects were not told, and were unaware of (by qualitative debriefing following the MRI scan session), respiration gating for any stimulation procedure during this study.

Experimental protocol

Each subject underwent a single visit MRI scan session consisting of four 8-min duration fMRI scan runs, including a passive control scan, two active stimulation scans, and an active control scan run (Fig. 1). Specifically, after an initial fMRI scan run during which sham stimulation was provided (i.e., electrode placed within cymba conchae), subjects experienced three stimulation runs. For these scan runs, taVNS stimulation was gated either to the exhalation or inhalation phase of the respiratory cycle (eRAVANS, iRAVANS), while a third fMRI run included a control with exhalation-gated stimulation of the greater auricular nerve innervated earlobe location (GANctrl). The sham stimulation fMRI run was performed first in order to maintain blinding for active versus inactive stimulation, and the order of the three active stimulation runs was counterbalanced across subjects. Stimulus intensity (current amplitude, mA) was set by percutaneous measurement following the MRI scan session, and intensity ratings were assessed again at the end of each run.

Stimulation

Stimuli consisted of biphasic rectangular pulse trains with 450 μs pulse width and a duration of 1 s, delivered at 25 Hz, and provided by a current-constant Model 588x stimulator with stimulus isolation unit (Grass Instruments, Astro-Med, Inc, West Warwick, RI, USA). RAVANS taVNS was delivered via custom-built, ergonomically-shaped MR-compatible electrodes (Bionik Medical Devices, Bucaramanga, Colombia) placed within the left cymba conchae of the ear (Fig. 1). A second set of electrodes was secured to the left earlobe prior to scanning, and used to deliver control location stimulation (GANctrl). The respiratory gating was implemented by measuring respiration through a custom-built pneumatic belt placed around the subjects’ lower thorax. Low-compliance tubing connected the belt to a pressure transducer...
and the voltage signal reflecting respiratory volume was acquired by a laptop-controlled device (National Instruments USB DAQCard 6009, 14-bit i/o, with LabView 7.0 data acquisition software). In-house developed LabView® code was used to detect end-inhalation and end-exhalation in real-time, based on an adaptive threshold detection algorithm, and a TTL signal was sent to a miniature high-frequency relay (G6Z-1P-DC5, Omron Electronics Components, Shaumburg, IL, USA), thereby controlling the onset and offset of stimulation. A brief 0.8 s delay was introduced between the end-inhalation timestamp and stimulus onset for exhalation-gated stimulation, in order to ensure delivery during the exhalation phase. As the inhalation phase is shorter than the exhalation phase, a nominal 0.1 s delay was used for inhalation-gated stimulation. Potential differences in stimulation parameters and ratings across conditions were explored using repeated measures ANOVAs implemented in Matlab (R2016b, The MathWorks, Natick, MA, USA). Sham taVNS stimulation was provided during a separate fMRI scan run by disconnecting the stimulation electrode from the current source, while maintaining the identical auricular electrode placement on the subject.

MRI and physiological data collection

Blood oxygenation level-dependent (BOLD) fMRI data were collected on a Siemens 7 T whole-body scanner (Siemens Healthineers, Erlangen, Germany) using a custom-built 32-channel receive array and birdcage transmit coil. Functional MRI data were acquired with gradient-echo single-shot echo-planar imaging (EPI) using a Simultaneous Multi-Slice acquisition with multi-band factor 2 and the following parameters: 1.2 mm isotropic voxel size (field of view = 192 × 192 mm²), 38 coronal slices centered on the brainstem and tilted parallel to the dorsal border of the brainstem using a mid-sagittal localizer, repetition time (TR) = 0.99 s, echo time (TE) = 23 ms, flip angle = 58°, band width = 1562 Hz pix⁻¹, echo spacing = 0.76 ms, using R = 4 in-plane (generalized autocalibrating partially parallel acquisitions (GRAPPA)) acceleration and a robust autocalibration scan [35]. For each fMRI scan run, 500 time-series measurements were acquired. To aid co-registration, a T2*-weighted anatomical reference dataset was also acquired using the same EPI pulse sequence, modified to provide whole-brain coverage but retaining the orientation and shimming volume of the partial-brain data (126 coronal slices, TR = 3.29 s). For both the functional runs and the anatomical reference scan, an additional volume was collected having opposite phase encoding, which was used to estimate and correct susceptibility-induced distortion (topup, FSL). Concurrent with MRI scanning, electrocardiogram (ECG) and respiration signals were continuously collected at 500 Hz using an MRI-compatible, noninvasive BIOPAC MP150 system (BIOPAC Systems, Goleta, CA, USA) and a laptop equipped with Acknowledge acquisition software (BIOPAC Systems).

MRI data preprocessing

For fMRI, data preprocessing was performed using a combination of the Oxford Centre for Functional MRI of the Brain (FMRIB) Software Library (FSL; v. 5.0.7), the Analysis of Functional Neuroimages (AFNI), and in-house bash scripts. The fMRI images were corrected for cardiorespiratory noise (RETROICOR), slice timing (using a custom script accounting for the Simultaneous Multi-Slice acquisition of the dataset), susceptibility-induced distortion (estimated using topup, FSL), and head motion (MCFLIRT, FSL). Following these preprocessing steps, the whole-brain volume, sharing the same orientation and distortion as the partial-brain coverage, was used for analysis.
fMRI runs, was normalized to a T2-weighted MNI template (ICBM 2009a Nonlinear Asymmetric template [36]) using linear and nonlinear transformations (FSL FLIRT and FNIRT, respectively). The high spatial resolution (1.2 mm isotropic voxels) and strong tissue contrast afforded by ultra-high-field imaging allowed us to avoid the additional step of functional-to-anatomical co-registration, thus reducing possible misalignments due to variable susceptibility-induced distortion across sequences. A brainstem mask, defined in the ICBM152 MNI space by thresholding gray and white matter tissue maps at a tissue probability of 0.9 (as in prior studies [12,37,38]), was then transformed into individual functional spaces by inverting the estimated transform matrices (Fig. 2A). This mask was used to select and retain only brainstem fMRI voxels from the original dataset, in order to remove spatial smoothing-induced contamination of parenchymal voxels with fMRI signal from vascular and other non-parenchymal structures surrounding the brainstem such as cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), known to be heavily affected by physiological noise. Following this masking step, minimal spatial smoothing with a Gaussian smoothing kernel (full width at half maximum (FWHM) = 2 mm) was applied to the BOLD fMRI data.

**MRI data analysis**

For auricular stimulus fMRI scan runs, first-level General Linear Model (GLM) analyses of brainstem fMRI data were carried out using an event-related design and the fMRI Expert Analysis Tool (FEAT v6.00, FSL). Because the hemodynamic impulse response may deviate from the canonical hemodynamic impulse response function due to our target brainstem location, for each fMRI scan run, stimulation timings were convolved with a set of three basis functions chosen through FMRIB’s Linear Optimal Basis Set (FLOBS, FSL) algorithm, designed to provide flexibility in the shape of the hemodynamic response function. To account for the potential for the brainstem response to be faster than the canonical response [39], the FLOBS basis set was designed to span hemodynamic delays between 1 and 8 s. Brainstem response to sham stimulation (exhalation-gated sham, eSham, and inhalation-gated sham, iSham) was assessed to control for any generalized fMRI signal response to neural or non-neural respiratory-based modulation. For both eSham and iSham, we used the respiratory signal collected during the corresponding fMRI scan run to define sham stimulus events for event-related fMRI analyses, thereby controlling for respiratory cycle influence on the brainstem fMRI signal. To further control for cardiac-driven contamination of the brainstem fMRI signal, instantaneous heart rate, as estimated through the point-process algorithm (see below), was convolved with the previously-reported cardiac response function [40] and included in the design matrix as a regressor of no interest.

Following this first level analysis, individual parameter estimates (PEs) derived from the three FLOBS basis functions were combined in a signed root mean square (RMS) summary statistic [41]. The resulting distribution of this summary statistic is highly non-Gaussian, therefore all group-level analyses were carried out using nonparametric permutation analysis (5000 randomizations; randomise, FSL). Individual summary statistics were then transformed to MNI space and concatenated for each condition, and brainstem responses were evaluated by comparing each active stimulation condition with the appropriate sham stimulation condition, using paired non-parametric randomization tests: eRAVANS–eSham, iRAVANS–iSham, GANctrl–eSham. Two sets of analyses were performed. The first set was aimed at evaluating ipsilateral dorsal medullary response (site of primary synapse for afference from the auricle, e.g. NTS, spinal trigeminal nucleus (SpV)). We used a small-volume approach in which a search volume was defined in the dorsal medulla ipsilateral to the stimulation site, which was defined by a caudal limit set by the FMRRI data field-of-view, a rostral limit set by the pontomedullary junction, and a ventral limit set by the reticular formation (Fig. 2C). Given to the limited signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) typical for brainstem responses [33,37,42], significance within this small-volume search space was set at uncorrected p < 0.05. Additionally, in the Supplementary
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Material, we also display unmasked (whole-brainstem) results thresholded at uncorrected p < 0.05 (Supplementary Fig. S1). Significant fMRI clusters were localized with the aid of two brainstem atlases, Duvernoy's Anatomy of the Human Brain Stem and Cerebellum [43] and Olczewski and Baxter's Cytoarchitecture of the Human Brainstem [44]. In a second analysis, the same contrasts were estimated in the entire brainstem volume, and a region of interest (ROI) approach was used with MNI-space masks for specific neurotransmitter nuclei hypothesized to be modulated by taVNS. These included bilateral locus coeruleus (LC), and dorsal and median raphe nuclei (DR, MR) (Fig. 2D), identified from results of previously published T1 turbo spin echo MRI [45] and 11C(DASB) positron emission tomography (PET) binding [46] neuroimaging studies specifically designed to identify these nuclei. For each ROI, an outcome metric was calculated with an Extent/Activation Index (EAI), defined as follows:

$$EA_{ROI} = \frac{\sum_{p<0.05} \# \text{voxels}_{p<0.05}}{\# \text{ROIs}} \times 100$$

The EAI index weighs the average value of the FLOBS summary statistics from significant (p < 0.05) voxels within each ROI by a multiplicative factor quantifying the percentage of overlapping voxels with respect to the total number of voxels in the ROI, thus preventing a very significant, but spatially limited (i.e., a few voxels) activation from driving the outcome metric. The multiplicative factor is calculated at the group level for each condition (eRAVANS − eSham, iRAVANS − iSham, GANctrl − eSham), and then used to weigh the average value of the summary statistics over the ROI, extracted at the single subject level. The EAI indices for the different ROIs were then compared across conditions using paired t-tests. Significance was set at p < 0.05, Bonferroni-corrected for multiple comparisons.

Use of high-resolution anatomical underlay

In order to aid visualization and anatomical localization, functional activation results were displayed over an ex vivo, high resolution (0.2 mm isotropic) brainstem dataset (B0 image from DTI acquisition) generously provided by the lab of Dr. Alan Johnson [47]. Accurate co-registration between this ex vivo brainstem volume and MNI space (which contained our fMRI maps) was performed using the Advanced Normalization Tools (ANTS) Toolbox [48] and 3D Slicer (www.slicer.org). Specifically, manual landmark-based affine registration was first completed using the first step of our previously published ABC brainstem co-registration method [49]. Subsequently, 3D Slicer was used to generate a brainstem mask on a 0.5 mm resolution MNI152 T1 template, which was dilated to include high-contrast boundaries and used to select the brainstem volume. The ANTs Toolbox was then used to perform a deformable affine registration followed by Symmetric Normalization deformation using the default parameters and mutual information as similarity metric. Quality of co-registration was excellent for the medullary and pontine regions reported in our study (Fig. 2B, Supplementary Fig. S2). The estimated transformation matrices were then used to transform fMRI group level maps from MNI space to the high-resolution brainstem space for visualization.

Cardiovascular response analysis using instantaneous HF-HRV

For cardiovascular analysis, ECG segments relative to each run were first annotated to identify the R-wave peak using an automated in-house algorithm followed by manual inspection. Similar to our prior studies, a point-process method was used to develop local likelihood HR estimation from the R-to-R interval series [34]. Briefly, each series is modeled by an inverse Gaussian probability function describing the time interval before each successive heartbeat. The mean of this probability function is modeled using a linear autoregressive model of order k, thus characterizing the dependence of the current time interval on the last k beat-to-beat intervals. From this set of autoregressive coefficients, instantaneous spectral measures of heart rate variability (HRV) were integrated in canonical frequency bands, thus computing the power within the high-frequency (HF-HRV, 0.15–0.40 Hz) range [50]. As in our previous studies [51–53], the instantaneous HF-HRV index was chosen as a metric for parasympathetic (cardiovagal) activity, in order to estimate stimulus-evoked cardiovagal response. This instantaneous spectral approach allowed for assessment of peri-stimulus HF-HRV response to stimulation, not allowed by standard, non-time-varying frequency space HRV index estimation.

The instantaneous HF-HRV power time series were then segmented into individual epochs starting 0.5 s before each 1-s stimulation train, extending 2 s after the end of each stimulation (3.5 s epoch total duration). For analysis, pre-stimulation samples were used as a baseline, and percent HF-HRV variations were calculated for each event and averaged over the scan run and across subjects, in order to estimate the stimulus-evoked cardiovagal modulation response. Moreover, linear regression analysis evaluated any correlation between cardiovagal response and brainstem response for the ROIs defined above, using individual summaristic statistic values (significant at p < 0.05).

Results

All subjects tolerated the stimulation and completed the experimental sessions without adverse events. Stimulation characteristics across different conditions demonstrated no significant differences for stimulation current (F-score = 0.45, p-value = 0.64) or ratings of intensity (F-score = 0.06, p-value = 0.56) (Table 1). Individual current sensitivity across conditions was consistent – that is, subjects requiring higher stimulation currents in one stimulation run, also required higher currents in the others – as measured by a significant intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC = 0.75, p-value < 0.01). Trending, but non-significant differences, were noted for number of stimuli delivered (repeated measures ANOVA F-score = 2.58, p-value = 0.07) and inter-stimulus interval between stimuli (F-score = 2.67, p-value = 0.06), with slightly more stimuli and shorter ISI for iRAVANS on average. Furthermore, while order was randomized, no significant correlations were found between the order of active conditions (eRAVANS, iRAVANS, GANctrl) and stimulation characteristics or subjects’ ratings.

Brainstem medullary response for each active condition was visualized over the high-resolution ex vivo dataset for localization (Fig. 3). Each active stimulation map was normalized by exhalation- or inhalation-gated sham stimulation fMRI response map, and the eRAVANS − eSham (hereafter, eRAVANS') contrast showed a positive contrast (p-value < 0.05, uncorrected within the small-volume mask) in an elongated cluster extending rostro-ventrally within the rostromedial to rostral retrolateral medulla. Based on

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th># stimuli</th>
<th>ISI (s)</th>
<th>Current (mA)</th>
<th>Intensity (0–10 NRS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>eSham/eSham</td>
<td>127.9 ± 23.8</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.7</td>
<td>4.5 ± 0.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eRAVANS</td>
<td>127.5 ± 22.0</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.6 ± 2.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iRAVANS</td>
<td>136.1 ± 20.3</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.7 ± 2.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GANctrl</td>
<td>133.8 ± 19.8</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.4 ± 1.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eRAVANS − eSham</td>
<td>133.8 ± 19.8</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.4 ± 1.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
brainstem atlases [43,44], this cluster encompassed purported rostral NTS, NAmb and the dorsoventral portion of the olivary nucleus. No significant responses were found for the iRAVANS — iSham (iRAVANS') contrast. While there were no significant differences found when directly contrasting eRAVANS and GANctrl, interesting offsets in localization were found for the normalized eRAVANS and GANctrl responses (red/yellow and green, respectively), as well as their overlap (blue) (Fig. 3). Results demonstrated that the GANctrl cluster had a more rostrolateral location consistent with SpV, while the eRAVANS cluster was more dorsomedial and extended more caudally, consistent with the known anatomical morphology of NTS. When formally comparing eRAVANS and iRAVANS (i.e. eRAVANS' — iRAVANS', Fig. 4), a similar elongated cluster was revealed, and included rostral NTS and NAmb. The GANctrl — eSham (GANctrl') difference map showed a cluster located on the rostral edge of the search volume, and included purported dorsoventral olive/reticular formation, as well as SpV. The same analyses were repeated adding individual current levels as a regressor of no interest in the group design matrix, in order to control for the different current intensities due to our percept-matched approach to calibration. The resulting maps were nearly identical to those shown in Figs. 3 and 4, suggesting that current amplitude, while highly variable across subjects, did not significantly influence group differences.

In ROI analyses, significantly higher EAI values (p < 0.05, Bonferroni-corrected) were found for eRAVANS' compared to both iRAVANS' and GANctrl' in right LC (eRAVANS': 969.7 ± 1299.9 a. u., mean ± SD; iRAVANS': 99.9 ± 302.9 a. u.; GANctrl': 114.8 ± 238.9 a. u.), DR nucleus (eRAVANS': 66.2 ± 100.8 a. u.; iRAVANS': 0 a. u.; GANctrl': 0 a. u.) and MR nucleus (eRAVANS': 867.7 ± 1184.9 a. u.; iRAVANS': 161.0 ± 402.6 a. u.; GANctrl': 0 a. u.) (Fig. 5).

Cardiovagal analysis found that compared to pre-stimulation baseline for each stimulus event, the HF-HRV stimulus-evoked

![Fig. 3. Top row: group maps showing masked ipsilateral medullary responses to exhalatory taVNS (eRAVANS'), inhalatory taVNS (iRAVANS') and greater auricular nerve control stimulation over the earlobe (GANctrl'), overlaid on a high-resolution (0.2 mm) ex vivo brainstem. The respiration phase-matched sham (eSham, iSham) was subtracted from each active stimulation condition in order to normalize active stimulation response and control for respiratory modulation of the fMRI signal. Bottom row: eRAVANS' (red-yellow) and GANctrl' (green) group maps, as well as their overlap (blue), are shown on the same underlay. The corresponding brainstem slices from the Duvernoy’s (top right) and the Olszewski and Baxter’s (bottom right) atlases aid the localization of functional responses. The eRAVANS' cluster is consistent with purported NAmb, NTS and part of SpV, whereas the GANctrl' response mainly involves a cluster more consistent with SpV. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)](image)

![Fig. 4. Direct comparison between eRAVANS' and iRAVANS' responses noted greater NTS and NAmb activation for eRAVANS'.](image)
We explored the spatiotemporal resolution provided by ultrahigh-field (7T) fMRI. Our study characterized brainstem response to taVNS applied to the cymba conchae of the left ear by exploiting the increased limits on spatiotemporal resolution and the strong physiological consensus has been reached regarding a suitable alternative. Thus, our GANctrl stimulation also produced robust activation in ipsilateral medulla, in a cluster consistent with SpV, and ventrolateral to the NTS cluster activated by eRAVANS. The greater auricular nerve is a superficial cutaneous branch of the cervical plexus, composed of branches of spinal nerves C2 and C3, whose afferent fibers terminate in the cuneate and SpV nuclei in the medulla [57]. Thus, our GANctrl stimulation was not physiologically inert, and future studies evaluating clinical effects of taVNS should use caution in applying earlobe stimulation as a placebo control. In fact, its use has been recently debated in a series of commentaries [58,59], although no consensus has been reached regarding a suitable alternative. On the other hand, NAmb is a smaller-diameter elongated structure and the main premotor nucleus for vagal outflow to the heart. Respiratory phase may also be an important variable for stimulus-evoked response in this nucleus, as breathing is known to introduce rhythmical oscillations in cardiac vagal physiology. During each respiratory cycle, heart rate decreases during exhalation and increases during inhalation, matching pulmonary blood flow to lung inflation and maintaining an appropriate oxygen diffusion gradient [50]. This “respiratory sinus arrhythmia” (RSA) occurs by modulation of premotor cardio vagal neurons (e.g. NAmb) by diverse mechanisms, including afference (via NTS) from the lungs, and thoracic baroreceptors, as well as direct input from medullary respiratory neurons [60-62]. In fact, NTS neurons play a direct role in coordinating RSA [62], as activation of excitatory 2nd-order NTS modulation of premotor cardiovagal neurons (e.g. NAmb) by diverse mechanisms, including afference (via NTS) from the lungs, and thoracic baroreceptors, as well as direct input from medullary respiratory neurons [60-62]. In fact, NTS neurons play a direct role in coordinating RSA [62], as activation of excitatory 2nd-order NTS activation in this region was partially overlapping but spatially offset from the ipsilateral pontomedullary region activated by GANctrl stimulation, which was consistent with the location of the SpV nucleus.

Additionally, an ROI analysis found greater activation for eRAVANS, compared to both iRAVANS and GANctrl, in important monoamine neurotransmitter source nuclei such as locus coeruleus (LC, noradrenergic) and median and dorsal raphe (MR, DR, serotonergic) nuclei. Furthermore, eRAVANS also increased HF-HRV, and greater increase in HF-HRV was associated with greater activation in the pontine median raphe nucleus. This suggests that this serotonergic source nucleus may play a role in the feedback regulatory loop by which auricular taVNS upregulates cardiovagal outflow. Our results localize taVNS targeting in the human brainstem, and demonstrate that exhalation-gated stimulation can enhance NTS targeting and cardiovagal modulation compared with inhalation-gated and sham control.

Results demonstrated enhanced ipsilateral NTS response for eRAVANS compared to iRAVANS. This nucleus is known to contain the primary synapse for ABVN afference into the brainstem, and a gateway by which ABVN stimulation can modulate higher brainstem and even cortical function in the brain. Thus, NTS activation could serve as a viable target for future taVNS optimization across different parameter spaces (e.g. frequency, pulse width, etc.). We proposed that a non-obvious parameter space includes respiratory phase, and attribute enhanced NTS activation during exhalation to both bottom-up and top-down influence. Firstly, the NTS receives afference during inhalation over the main trunk of the vagus from pulmonary stretch receptors and aortic baroreceptors [54], potentially competing with any ABVN afference to NTS. The NTS is also known to receive inhibitory inputs from ventral respiratory group (VRG) nuclei in the medulla during inhalation and facilitation during exhalation [23,24]. Ultimately, we suggest that both of these influences set up a more receptive state for ABVN input during exhalation.

We also found stimulus-evoked activation in other medullary nuclei, consistent with SpV and NAmb, with greater activation for eRAVANS compared to iRAVANS. SpV is ventrolateral to NTS in the dorsal pontomedullary junction and is also known to receive afferent input over ABVN fibers [55,56]. Moreover, GANctrl stimulation also produced robust activation in ipsilateral medulla, in a cluster consistent with SpV, and ventrolateral to the NTS cluster activated by eRAVANS. The greater auricular nerve is a superficial cutaneous branch of the cervical plexus, composed of branches of spinal nerves C2 and C3, whose afferent fibers terminate in the cuneate and SpV nuclei in the medulla [57]. Thus, our GANctrl stimulation was not physiologically inert, and future studies evaluating clinical effects of taVNS should use caution in applying earlobe stimulation as a placebo control. In fact, its use has been recently debated in a series of commentaries [58,59], although no consensus has been reached regarding a suitable alternative.

The brainstem circuitry activated and modulated by taVNS has been challenging to evaluate in humans due to neuroimaging limits on spatiotemporal resolution and the strong physiological noise inherent to fMRI found within this important brain region [33]. Our study characterized brainstem response to taVNS applied to the cymba conchae of the left ear by exploiting the increased spatiotemporal resolution provided by ultrahigh-field (7T) fMRI. We explored the influence of respiratory phase on stimulation outcome by delivering stimulation during exhalation (eRAVANS) or inhalation (iRAVANS), and employed greater auricular nerve stimulation (GANctrl, electrodes placed on the earlobe) as a sensory control. Furthermore, we evaluated cardiovagal response (instantaneous HF-HRV) to stimulation, using cardiac data recorded concurrently with fMRI. For eRAVANS, we found stimulus-evoked activation in an ipsilateral pontomedullary region consistent with NTS, and activation was significantly more robust compared to inhalation-gated taVNS. Moreover, eRAVANS response was significantly increased during the stimulation period for both eRAVANS’ and GANctrl’, while no significant deviation from baseline was found for iRAVANS’ (Fig. 6A). Furthermore, cardiovagal response to eRAVANS showed increased values up to 2 s after the beginning of the stimulation period and the subjects’ average HF-HRV change score in this 2 s post-eRAVANS onset window was correlated with fMRI response (FLOBS summary statistic) in the MR nucleus (Pearson’s r = 0.51, p-value = 0.04; Fig. 6B). Thus, subjects with greater response in this serotoninergic source nucleus also demonstrated greater cardiovagal modulation.

**Discussion**

The brainstem circuitry activated and modulated by taVNS has been challenging to evaluate in humans due to neuroimaging limits on spatiotemporal resolution and the strong physiological noise inherent to fMRI found within this important brain region [33]. Our study characterized brainstem response to taVNS applied to the cymba conchae of the left ear by exploiting the increased spatiotemporal resolution provided by ultrahigh-field (7T) fMRI. We explored the influence of respiratory phase on stimulation outcome by delivering stimulation during exhalation (eRAVANS) or inhalation (iRAVANS), and employed greater auricular nerve stimulation (GANctrl, electrodes placed on the earlobe) as a sensory control. Furthermore, we evaluated cardiovagal response (instantaneous HF-HRV) to stimulation, using cardiac data recorded concurrently with fMRI. For eRAVANS, we found stimulus-evoked activation in an ipsilateral pontomedullary region consistent with NTS, and activation was significantly more robust compared to inhalation-gated taVNS. Moreover, eRAVANS ROI analysis found that eRAVANS’ produced greater response than both iRAVANS’ and GANctrl’ in serotoninergic (DR, MR) and noradrenergic (LC) source brainstem nuclei. Analyses use an Extent Activation Index (EAI), which weighted the activation strength by its extent within the ROI volume. * - p < 0.05; error bars show SEM.

**ROI analysis – Extent Activation Index (EAI)**
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neurons increases premotor cardiovagal neuron firing rate and inhibits premotor sympathetic neurons [63,64]. In contrast, during inhalation, activity of ventral respiratory group medullary neurons leads to inhibitory GABAergic and glycinergic synaptic transmission to premotor cardiovagal neurons [65,66]. Our results show that ABVN input during exhalation also facilitates NAmb activation. Interestingly, eRAVANS evoked activation within important noradrenergic (LC) and serotonergic (MR, DR) brainstem source nuclei. Evoked fMRI response was significantly greater compared to both iRAVANS and GANctrl, suggesting that more robust NTS targeting also translates to greater activation of these higher pontine/midbrain nuclei. In fact, both noradrenergic and serotonergic regulation has been posited to be a mechanism supporting taVNS clinical outcomes [67]. LC, a columnar structure located bilaterally in the dorsal pons, contains the highest number of noradrenergic neurons in the brain, and is the origin of extensive and diffuse descending and ascending projections. The LC-noradrenaline system has been implicated in the modulation of a variety of higher cognitive and affective functions, including enhancement of arousal state [68,69], execution of adaptive behavioral responses [70], and memory consolidation and retrieval [71,72].

The raphe nuclei, on the other hand, are the largest collection of serotonergic neurons in the brain, and are clustered throughout the midline, extending from the medulla to the midbrain. The principal ascending fibers originate from MR and DR, located in the midbrain/pons and midbrain respectively, and target other midbrain nuclei, as well as subcortical and cortical structures [73,74]. Serotonin is a monoaminergic neuromodulator involved in a broad range of cognitive/affective functions including emotion [75], reward [76], pain [77], sleep [78], and motor activity [79]. In sum, LC and raphe activation likely follows stimulation-evoked response in NTS, which is known from animal studies to project to peri-LC dendrites [21] and to midbrain raphe nuclei [80]. Furthermore, DR and MR nuclei receive substantial afferents from the parabrachial nucleus [81,82], which is also a target of NTS ascending fibers [22], and may modulate raphe nuclei activity by this indirect pathway.

Interestingly, our previous RAVANS fMRI study showed that following RAVANS there was increased LC and MR response to trigeminal sensory afference (forehead airpuff stimulation) in migraineurs [12], consistent with the hypothesis that serotonergic/noradrenergic regulation of abnormal trigeminal somatosensory processing supports the therapeutic effects of taVNS in migraine [14]. Our ultrahigh-field (7T) fMRI results demonstrated that eRAVANS also produces stimulus-evoked response in LC and raphe nuclei, more closely linking taVNS with serotonergic and noradrenergic regulation.

Additionally, cardiovagal modulation, as measured by instantaneous HF-HRV power, showed stimulus-evoked increase during eRAVANS and GANctrl stimulations, with more sustained response (up to 2 s after stimulation onset) for eRAVANS. No significant stimulus-evoked HF-HRV response was found for iRAVANS. These results are consistent with the brain imaging findings, confirming a lack of effect of iRAVANS at both central and peripheral neurophysiological levels. Interestingly, subjects with greater HF-HRV stimulus-evoked response for eRAVANS also demonstrated greater activation in MR. Midbrain raphe nuclei have been shown to modulate the activity of cell groups in the hypothalamus that are in

![Fig. 6. (A) Stimulus-evoked HF-HRV power response to stimulation. eRAVANS’ panel: * = eRAVANS > eSham, p < 0.05. GANctrl’ panel: * = GANctrl > eSham, p < 0.05. (B) Subjects’ stimulus-evoked HF-HRV response (0–2 s post-stimulation) was correlated with fMRI response in the MR nucleus (basis function summary statistic).](image-url)
Our brainstem-focused fMRI analysis controlled for multiple factors known to reduce signal in brainstem fMRI data collection and analysis [33]. With regards to data collection, we positioned coronal slices along the longitudinal axis of the brainstem, thereby orienting our slices parallel to the long axis of most brainstem nuclei, including NTS, and parallel to the most prominent axis for cardiorespiratory-induced motion of the brainstem—i.e. cranio-caudal [87]. In terms of analysis approaches, we collected concurrent cardiac and respiratory data alongside the fMRI data to improve correction of physiological artifacts. Masking brainstem fMRI data prior to smoothing reduced the impact of cardiac pulsation noise from surface brainstem vessels and cerebrospinal fluid from spilling into parenchymal voxels of interest. Also, in computing subjects’ evoked fMRI response maps, we used data from a no-stimulation sham control fMRI scan run for which an equivalent duration “event”-related regressor was created with equivalent timing during exhalation or inhalation. This allowed analyses to control for more general respiratory-linked fMRI signal fluctuation, thereby better isolating the true brainstem response to ABVN stimulation.

Another important point was that stimulation events were of short duration (1 s), thereby avoiding the known high noise regime that hamper fMRI designs using long stimulation blocks, as the power spectrum of BOLD fMRI noise decreases as 1/f with temporal frequency [88,89]. Previous taVNS fMRI studies have employed designs with long stimulus durations reflecting clinical parameters, and block lengths up to several minutes [26–29,31,32]. Such low task frequency designs might benefit from use of Arterial Spin Labeling (ASL) fMRI techniques, which exhibit a flat power spectrum [90,91].

Our study also has limitations that should be discussed. For instance, BOLD fMRI signal responses in the brainstem have reduced SNR compared to cortical responses [33], leading to multiple brainstem fMRI studies using uncorrected thresholds, very low cluster-forming thresholds, or mask-based approaches to restrict the analysis to a smaller volume [28,29,31,32–94]. Nevertheless, the anatomical localization for NTS, NAnb, and SpV in our study was consistent with the known targeting of ABVN and GAN nerve pathways into the medulla as noted by anatomical atlases and previously published results for specific trigeminal nuclei responding to orofacial nociceptive input [95–97]. These considerations increased our confidence that the reported activations do not constitute false positive results. Also, while a ROI approach for LC and raphe nuclei response constituted an unbiased framework for comparison, using anatomical ROIs has limitations, as only a subregion of LC and raphe nuclei could have been activated, leading to underestimated effect sizes.

In conclusion, our ultra-high-field (7T) fMRI study demonstrated that exhalation-gated taVNS enhanced activation in ipsilateral NTS and up-stream monoaminergic source nuclei in the brainstem. eRAVANS also enhanced cardiovascular modulation. These results suggest recruitment of clinically-relevant neurophysiological pathways. Future studies need to explore longer-term physiological effects and explore additional parameters that may influence brain targeting for taVNS stimulation.
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